arXiv:math/0509461v1 [math.OA] 20 Sep 2005

SOME ENDOMORPHISMS OF II_1 FACTORS: PART II

HSIANG-PING HUANG

Abstract. For any finite dimensional C^*-algebra A with a trace vector $\vec{s}$ whose entries are rational numbers, we give an endomorphism Φ of the hyperfinite II_1 factor R such that:

$$\forall\ k\ \in\ \mathbb{N},\ \Phi^k(R)'\cap R=\otimes^k A.$$

The canonical trace τ on R extends the trace vector $\vec{s}$ on A. Therefore the minimal projection is not necessarily equivalent to each other.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 2
3. A Simplified Version 4
4. Main Theorem 12
5. Discussion 22
References 23

1. Introduction

The study of subfactor theory is centered on describing the position of a subfactor N embedded into an ambient factor M. The standard invariant associated with Jones basic construction,

$$N\subset M\subset M_1\subset M_2\subset\cdots$$

is a complete invariant in the amenable case. To classify the standard invariant is the most important task ever since the birth of subfactor theory. Many ground-breaking works have been done. Yet even more puzzles remain unsolved.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L37, 47B47.

Key words and phrases. endomorphisms, relative commutants, binary shifts.

Research partially supported by National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Mathematics Division, Taiwan.

For a hyperfinite II_1 subfactor of finite Jones index, it is equipped with an extra structure: an endomorphism Φ, sending the ambient factor M onto the subfactor N. Therefore it is only natural to investigate the "action", mimicking A.Connes' marvellous work.

A well-known example is the canonical shift in a strongly amenable inclusion. Another surprising example is the binary shift [13] which gives rise to a counterexample that fails the tensor product formula for entropy [10]. Via the Cuntz algebra, a lot of endomorphisms have been manufactured.

A nice result by M.Choda [3] states that Φ is outer-conjugate to Ψ as an endomorphism of M onto N if and only if N_Φ is conjugate to N_Ψ as a subfactor of $M \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$, where

$$N_\Phi = \{\begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi(x) \end{bmatrix} \mid x \in M\} \text{ and } N_\Psi = \{\begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi(x) \end{bmatrix} \mid x \in M\}$$

Obviously we are interested in the Jones basic construction

$$N_\Phi \subset M \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C}) = \tilde{M} \subset \tilde{M}_1 \subset \tilde{M}_2 \subset \cdots$$

Unfortunately the standard invariant is hard to compute except for a basic endomorphism, where Φ can be extended to M_n the tower algebra. Yet we do know the standard invariant contains a tower of finite dimensional C^*-algebras, the relative commutant algebras,

$$\{\Phi^k(M)' \cap M\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \subset \{\Phi^k(M)' \cap M_n\}_{k,n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$$

For the moment, we are concentrated on the first tower, which is easier to tackle with.

In [9] we coupled the notion of n-unitary shift with the shift on $\otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} A$, for any finite dimensional C^*-algebra A. We constructed an endomorphism Φ on R, which gives

$$\Phi^k(R)' \cap R = \otimes^k A.$$

The Jones index $[R : \Phi(R)] = (\text{rank } (A))^2$, here rank (A) is the dimension of the maximal abelian subalgebra of A. The minimal projection of A is equivalent to each other.

The major part of this paper is to generalize the above result to an arbitrary trace vector of rational entries on A. Thus the minimal projection of A may or may not be equivalent to each other.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let M be a II_1 factor with the canonical trace τ. Denote the set of unital *-endomorphisms of M by $End(M, \tau)$. Then $\Phi \in End(M, \tau)$ preserves the trace and Φ is injective. $\Phi(M)$ is a subfactor of M. If there exists a $\sigma \in Aut(M)$ with $\Phi_1 \cdot \sigma = \sigma \cdot \Phi_2$ for $\Phi_i \in End(M, \tau)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ then Φ_1 and Φ_2 are said to be conjugate. If there exists a $\sigma \in Aut(M)$ and a unitary $u \in M$ such that $Adu \cdot \Phi_1 \cdot \sigma = \sigma \cdot \Phi_2$, then Φ_1 and Φ_2 are outer conjugate.

The Jones index $[M : \Phi(M)]$ is an outer-conjugacy invariant. We consider only the finite index case unless otherwise stated. In such case, there is a distinguished outer-conjugacy invariant: the tower of inclusions of finite dimensional C^* algebras, $\{A_k = \Phi^k(M)' \cap M\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

Lemma 1. *$A_k = \Phi^k(M)' \cap M$ contains an subalgebra that is isomorphic to $\otimes_{l=1}^{k} A_1$, the k-th tensor power of A_1, where $A_1 = \Phi(M)' \cap M$ as denoted.*

The dimension of the relative commutant $\Phi^k(M)' \cap M$ is known to be bounded above by the Jones index $[M : \Phi(M)]^k$. Lemma 1 provides the lower bound for the growth estimate.

Lemma 2. *For any finite dimensional C^*-algebra A, there exists a $\Phi \in End(R, \tau)$ such that the relative commutant $\Phi^k(R)' \cap R$ is isomorphic to $\otimes_{i=1}^{k} A$. Here R is the hyperfinite II_1 factor with the canonical trace τ.*

$$[R : \Phi(R)] = \mathrm{rank}(A)^2, \quad H(\Phi) = \ln(\mathrm{rank}(A))$$

The minimal projection of A has the same trace. Observe that $\otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} A$ contains a hereditary maximal abelian subalgebra of R, which is a stringent condition for calculating the entropy.

We construct a variant of the above endomorphism in the next section. The main technical tool in the construction is [13] R.Powers' binary shifts. We provide here the details of n-unitary shifts generalized by [4] M.Choda for the convenience of the reader.

Let n be a positive integer. We treat a pair of sets Q and S of integers satisfying the following condition $(*)$ for some integer m:

$$(*) \begin{cases} Q = (i(1), i(2), \cdots, i(m)), & 0 \le i(1) < i(2) < \cdots < i(m), \\ S = (j(1), j(2), \cdots, j(m)), & j(l) = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1, \\ & \text{for } \ l = 1, 2, \cdots, m. \end{cases}$$

Definition 1. A unital $*$-endomorphism Ψ of R is called an n-unitary shift of R if there is a unitary $u \in R$ satisfying the following:
(1)$u^n = 1$;
(2)R is generated by $\{u, \Psi(u), \Psi^2(u), \cdots, \}$;
(3)$\Psi^k(u)u = u\Psi^k(u)$ or $\Psi^k(u)u = \gamma u \Psi^k(u)$ for all $k = 1, 2, \cdots$, where $\gamma = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/n)$.
(4) for each (Q, S) satisfying $(*)$, there are an integer $k(\ge 0)$ and a

nontrivial $\lambda \in \mathbb{T} = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C}; |\mu| = 1\}$ such that

$$\Psi^k(u)u(Q,S) = \lambda u(Q,S)\Psi^k(u),$$

where $u(Q,S)$ is defined by

$$u(Q,S) = \Psi^{i(1)}(u)^{j(1)}\Psi^{i(2)}(u)^{j(2)} \cdots \Psi^{i(m)}(u)^{j(m)}.$$

The unitary u is called a generator of Ψ. Put $S(\Psi; u) = \{k; \Psi^k(u)u = \gamma u \Psi^k(u)\}$. Note that the above condition (2) gives some rigidity on $S(\Psi; u)$. The Jones index $[R : \Psi(R)]$ is n.

One interesting example of $S_1 = S(\Psi_1; u_1)$ is $\{1, 3, 6, 10, 15, \cdots, \frac{1}{2}l(l+1), \cdots\}$, which corresponds to the n-stream $\{0101001000100001 000001 \cdots\}$. It is pointed out that the relative commutant $\Psi_1^k(R)' \cap R$ is always trivial for all k!

We define

$$S_2 = \{\frac{1}{2}l(l+1) \mid l = 1 \mod 3\}, \quad S_3 = \{\frac{1}{2}l(l+1) \mid l = 2 \mod 3\}$$

3. A Simplified Version

Theorem 1. *For any finite dimensional C^*-algebra A, there exists a $\Phi \in End(R, \tau)$ such that the relative commutant $\Phi^k(R)' \cap R$ is isomorphic to $\otimes_{i=1}^k Z(A)$. $Z(A)$ is the center of A. Here R is the hyperfinite II_1 factor with the trace τ.*

Since A is finite dimensional, then A can be decomposed as a direct sum of finitely many matrix algebras,

$$A \simeq \oplus_{i=1}^j M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $n = \sum_i a_i$. The minimal central projection of A is not necessarily equivalent to each other, though the minimal projection of A is. The trace vector on $Z(A)$ is

$$[\frac{a_1}{n}, \ \frac{a_2}{n}, \ \cdots \ \frac{a_j}{n}]$$

For each i, $M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C}) \subset A$ (not a unital embedding) is generated by $p_i, q_i \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^{a_i})$ with:

$$p_i^{a_i} = q_i^{a_i} = 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})}; \quad \gamma_i = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/a_i), \quad p_i q_i = \gamma_i q_i p_i$$

where $p_i = [1 \ \gamma_i \ \gamma_i^2 \cdots \gamma_i^{a_i - 1}]$ is the diagonal matrix in $M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})$, and q_i is the permutation matrix in $M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})$, $(1 \ 2 \ 3 \cdots a_i)$.

Define $v \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ to be the permutation matrix:

$$v = (a_1 \ \ (a_1 + a_2) \ \ (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) \ \ \cdots \ \ (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_j)).$$

Then $v^j = 1$. A and v do generate $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore we can two describe $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ via A and v (or via A and r described below.)

Define $r := svs$, while

$$s = [0\ 0\ \cdots\ 0\ 1_{a_1}\ 0\ 0\ \cdots\ 0\ 1_{a_1+a_2} \cdots\ 0\ 0 \cdots\ 0\ 1_{a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_j}] \in A.$$

A and r generate $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 3. *In fact, $< A, r >\simeq M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is of the form:*

$$A + ArA + Ar^2A + \cdots + Ar^{j-1}A.$$

Proof. It suffices to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&r = svs = vs, \quad [s, v] = [s, r] = 0\\
&r^* = sv^* = v^*s, \quad rr^* = r^*r = s\\
&rAr = rsAr = r^2r^*Ar = r^2s(v^*Av)s \subset r^2A\\
&r^* = r^{j-1}, \quad r^j = s
\end{aligned}$$

□

On the other hand, define $w = \sum_{i=1}^{j} \gamma^{i-1} 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})}$, where

$$\gamma = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/j) \quad \gamma^j = 1$$

Note that w is in the center of A. Two simple yet important observations are that:
(1) Adw acts trivially on A.
(2) $Adw(r) = \gamma r$.

Now we construct a tower of inclusion of finite dimensional C^*- algebras M_k with a trace τ. The ascending union $M = \cup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} M_k$ contains infinite copies of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, and thus of A. Number them respectively by $r_1, A_1, w_1, r_2, A_2, w_2, r_3, A_3, w_3, \cdots$. The key point in the construction is how the full matrix algebra $< r_k, A_k >\simeq M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is embedded in M_k.

We endow on this algebra the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[r_l, A_m] = 0, \text{ if } l \neq m;\\
&r_l r_m = \gamma r_l r_m, \text{ if } |l - m| \in S_1 = \{1, 3, 6, 10, 15, \cdots\},\\
&r_l r_m = r_m r_l, \text{ otherwise.}
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\gamma = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/j)$ as above.

Unlike in [9], we add a twist in the relations between A_l and A_m when $|l-m| \in S_2 \cup S_3$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{i,l}p_{i',m} = p_{i,m}p_{i',l};\\
&q_{i,l}p_{i',m} = \gamma_i^{-\delta_{i,i'}} p_{i',m}q_{i,l}, \quad \text{if } |l-m| \in S_2,\\
&q_{i,l}p_{i',m} = p_{i',m}q_{i,l}, \quad \text{if otherwise;}\\
&q_{i,l}q_{i',m} = \gamma_i^{\delta_{i,i'}} q_{i',m}q_{i,l}, \quad \text{if } |l-m| \in S_3\\
&q_{i,l}q_{i',m} = q_{i',m}q_{i,l}, \quad \text{if otherwise;}
\end{aligned}$$

where $A_l =< p_{i,l}, q_{i,l} >_{i=1}^{j}$, and $A_m =< p_{i',m}, q_{i',m} >_{i'=1}^{j}$.

The construction is an induction process. We have handy the embedding $A_1 \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{C}) = M_1$, which is isomorphic to the inclusion of $A \otimes 1_{M_n(\mathbb{C})}$ inside $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes 1_{M_n(\mathbb{C})}$ equipped with the trace $\frac{1}{n}Tr$.

Observe that $|2-1| = 1 \in S_2$. We would like to identify A_2 in $\otimes^2 M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by a twist. A_2 is generated by $p_{1,2}, \cdots, p_{j,2}$ and $q_{1,2}, \cdots, q_{j,2}$.

Put $p_{i,2} = \mathbf{1} \otimes p_i \in \otimes^2 M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $q_{i,2} = (q_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})}) \otimes q_i \in \otimes^2 M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Note that $q_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{i,2}^{a_i} = q_{i,2}^{a_i} = \mathbf{1} \otimes 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})},\\
&p_{i,2}q_{i,2} = \gamma_i q_{i,2}p_{i,2},\\
&q_{i,2}p_{i,1} = \gamma_i^{-1} p_{i,1}q_{i,2},\\
&[p_{i,2}, p_{i,1}] = [q_{i,2}, q_{i,1}] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

A_2 is generated by $p_{1,2}, \cdots, p_{j,2}$ and $q_{1,2}, \cdots, q_{j,2}$.

$$A_2 \simeq A = \oplus_{i=1}^{j} M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})$$

Observe $|2-1| = 1 \in S_1$. Define $r_2 := w \otimes r$. We have the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[w, q_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})}] = 0\\
&< A_2, r_2 > \simeq M_n(\mathbb{C})\\
&[A_1, r_2] = 0 \quad r_1 r_2 = \gamma r_2 r_1\\
&M_2 :=< A_1, r_1, A_2, r_2 >= \otimes^2 M_n(\mathbb{C})
\end{aligned}$$

There is a unique normalized trace τ on M_2.

Assume we have obtained $M_k =< A_1, r_1, A_2, r_2, \cdots, A_k, r_k >$ equal to $\otimes^k M_n(\mathbb{C})$ with the trace τ. We identify M_k as $M_k \otimes 1_{M_n(\mathbb{C})}$ by sending $x \in M_k$ to $x \otimes 1_{M_n(\mathbb{C})}$.

Define A_{k+1} by its generators, $p_{i,k+1}$ and $q_{i,k+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq j$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{i,k+1} := \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes p_i \\
&q_{i,k+1} := \\
&[(q_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})})^{b_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (q_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})})^{b_k}] \cdot \\
&[(p_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})})^{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (p_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})})^{c_k}] \otimes q_i \\
&b_l = 1, \quad \text{if } |k+1-l| \in S_2; \quad b_l = 0, \quad \text{otherwise} \\
&c_l = 1, \quad \text{if } |k+1-l| \in S_3; \quad c_l = 0, \quad \text{otherwise}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{i,k+1}^{a_i} = q_{i,k+1}^{a_i} &= \otimes^k \mathbf{1} \otimes 1_{M_{a_i}}(\mathbb{C}) \\
p_{i,k+1} q_{i,k+1} &= \gamma_i q_{i,k+1} p_{i,k+1}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore A_{k+1} is isomorphic to A.

The commutation relations is given below.

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{i,k+1} p_{i',l} = p_{i',l} p_{i,k+1} \\
&q_{i,k+1} p_{i',l} = \gamma_i^{-\delta_{i,i'}} p_{i',l} q_{i,k+1}, \text{ if } |k+1-l| \in S_2 \\
&[q_{i,k+1}, p_{i',l}] = 0, \text{ if } |k+1-l| \notin S_2 \\
&q_{i,k+1} q_{i',l} = \gamma_i^{\delta_{i,i'}} q_{i',l} q_{i,k+1}, \text{ if } |k+1-l| \in S_3 \\
&[q_{i,k+1}, q_{i',l}] = 0, \text{ if } |k+1-l| \notin S_3 \\
&A_{k+1} \cdot A_l = A_l \cdot A_{k+1}
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
&r_{k+1} := w^{d_1} \otimes w^{d_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes w^{d_k} \otimes r \\
&d_l = 1, \quad \text{if } |k+1-l| \in S_1; \quad d_l = 0, \quad \text{otherwise}
\end{aligned}$$

a

We have the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[w, q_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})}] = [w, p_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})}] = 0 \\
&< A_{k+1}, r_{k+1} > \simeq M_n(\mathbb{C}) \\
&[A_l, r_{k+1}] = 0 \quad 1 \leq l \leq k \\
&r_{k+1} r_l = \gamma r_l r_{k+1} \quad \text{if } |k+1-l| \in S_1 \\
&r_{k+1} r_l = r_l r_{k+1} \quad \text{if } |k+1-l| \notin S_1 \\
&M_{k+1} := < M_k, A_{k+1}, r_{k+1} > = \otimes^{k+1} M_n(\mathbb{C})
\end{aligned}$$

There is a unique normalized trace τ on M_{k+1}.

By induction we have constructed the ascending tower of finite dimensional C^*-algebras with the desired properties.

We now explore some useful properties of the finite dimensional C^*-algebra, M_k.

Lemma 4. *For all* l*,* M_l *is the linear span of the words,* $x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3 \cdots x_l$*, where* $x_k \in M_n(\mathbb{C})_k =< A_k, r_k >$*.*

Proof. It suffices to prove $x_l \cdot x_k$ is in $M_k \cdot < A_l, r_l >= M_k \cdot M_n(\mathbb{C})_l$, where $k < l$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_l A_k = A_k A_l \subset M_k A_l \\
&A_l r_l A_k = A_k A_l r_l \subset M_k A_l r_l \\
&p_{i,l} r_k = r_k p_{i,l} \in r_k A_l \subset M_k A_l
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[w, q_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})}] = [w, p_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})}] = 0; \\
&\text{if } |l-k| \in S_2, \text{ then} \\
&q_{i,l} r_k = Ad(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (q_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})}))(r_k) \cdot q_{i,l} \in M_k A_l; \\
&\text{if } |l-k| \in S_3, \text{ then} \\
&q_{i,l} r_k = Ad(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (p_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})}))(r_k) \cdot q_{i,l} \in M_k A_l; \\
&\text{if otherwise,} \quad q_{i,l} r_k = r_k q_{i,l} \in r_k A_l \subset M_k A_l.
\end{aligned}$$

In short,

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_l r_k \subset M_k A_l; \\
&A_l A_k r_k = A_k A_l r_k \subset A_k M_k A_l \subset M_k A_l; \\
&A_l r_l A_k r_k = A_k A_l r_l r_k = A_k A_l r_k r_l \subset A_k M_k A_l r_l \subset M_k A_l r_l.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5. *Consider the pair* (M, τ) *as described above and the GNS-construction. Identify everything mentioned above as its image. We* M'' *is the hyperfinite* II_1 *factor.*

Proof. There is one and only one tracial state on M_k for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the tracial state on M is unique. Therefore M'' is the hyperfinite II_1 factor, R. □

Define a unital *-endomorphism, Φ, on R to be the (right) one-shift: i.e., sending A_k to A_{k+1}, and sending r_k to r_{k+1}. We observe that $\Phi(R)$ is a II_1 factor and

$$[R : \Phi(R)] = n^2.$$

Lemma 6. *The relative commutant* $\Phi^k(R)' \cap R$ *is exactly* $\otimes_{i=1}^k Z(A)$*,* $Z(A)$ *is the center of* A*.*

Proof. Because of our decomposition in Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, R can be written as

$$(\sum_{i=0}^{j} A_1 r_1^i A_1) \cdot (\sum_{i=0}^{j} A_2 r_2^i A_2) \cdot \cdots \cdot (\sum_{i=0}^{j} A_k r_k^i A_k) \cdot \Phi^k(R).$$

Assume $x \in R \cap \Phi^k(R)'$. x can be written, as in Lemma 4, of the following form:

$$x = \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \{0,\ 1,\ \cdots, j-1\}^k} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{c_1} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{c_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{c_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot y^{\vec{\alpha}},$$

where $\vec{\alpha} = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k)$ is a multi-index and $y^{\vec{\alpha}}$ is in $\Phi^k(R)$. Note that $\Phi^k(R)$ is the weak closure of $\{\Phi^k(M_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$.

For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall\ \vec{\alpha},\ z^{\vec{\alpha}} \in \Phi^k(M_i) \subset < A_{k+1}, r_{k+1}, \cdots, A_{k+i}, r_{k+i} > \\ &\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \{0,\ 1,\ \cdots, j-1\}^k} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{c_1} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{c_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{c_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}} \|_{2,\tau} < \delta \\ &\delta = (\sqrt{\frac{j}{n}})^k \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Put $L = l(l+1)/2 + 1$ for some integer $l > k+1$ and $l = 0 \mod 3$. We have the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} &[r_L, A_1] = [r_L, A_2] = \cdots = [r_L, A_{k+i}] = 0 \\ &[r_L, r_2] = [r_L, r_3] = \cdots = [r_L, r_{k+i}] = 0 \\ &r_L r_1 = \gamma r_1 r_L, \quad r_L r_1^{c_1} = \gamma^{c_1} r_1 r_L \\ &r_L r_L^* = r_L^* r_L = s_L \\ &r_L r_1 r_L^* = \gamma r_1 s_L, \quad r_L r_1^{c_1} r_L^* = \gamma^{c_1} r_1 s_L \\ &\text{for } 0 \le m \le j-1, \quad r_L^m r_1^{c_1} (r_L^*)^m = \gamma^{c_1 m} r_1 s_l \\ &[s_L, A_1] = [s_L, A_2] = \cdots = [s_L, A_{k+i}] = 0 \\ &[s_L, r_1] = [s_L, r_2] = \cdots = [s_L, r_{k+i}] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we claim:

$$\|(x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \{0,\ 1,\ \cdots, j-1\}^k} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{c_1} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{c_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{c_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}) s_L\|_{2,\tau} =$$

$$\|(x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \{0,\ 1,\ \cdots, j-1\}^k} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{c_1} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{c_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}) \frac{1}{j} \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} r_L^m (r_L^*)^m\|_{2,\tau} =$$

$$\frac{1}{j} \| \sum_{\vec{\alpha}} \sum_m (r_L^m x {r_L^*}^m - y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} r_L^m r_1^{c_1} (r_L^*)^m z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{c_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{c_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}})\|_{2,\tau} =$$

$$\frac{1}{j} \| \sum_{\vec{\alpha}} \sum_m (x - y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{c_1 m} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{c_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{c_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}) s_L\|_{2,\tau} =$$

$$\|(x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \{0,\ 1, \cdots, j-1\}^k, c_1 = 0} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{c_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{c_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}) s_L\|_{2,\tau} =$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{j}{n}} \|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \{0,\ 1, \cdots, j-1\}^k, c_1 = 0} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{c_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{c_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau}$$

since $\{x,\ y_1^{\vec{\alpha}},\ z_1^{\vec{\alpha}},\ y_2^{\vec{\alpha}},\ r_2^{c_2},\ z_2^{\vec{\alpha}}, \cdots, y_k^{\vec{\alpha}},\ r_1^{c_k},\ z_k^{\vec{\alpha}},\ z^{\vec{\alpha}}\} \subset \{s_L,\ r_L,\ A_L\}'$
and $\tau(s_L) = \dfrac{j}{n}$.

Note that $\{s_L,\ r_L,\ A_L\}'' = M_n(\mathbb{C})_L$ is a type I factor [14].

By induction,

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \{0,\ 1, \cdots, j-1\}^k,\ c_1 = 0} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{c_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{c_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} < \sqrt{\frac{n}{j}} \delta$$

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \{0,\ 1, \cdots, j-1\}^k,\ c_1 = c_2 = 0} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{c_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} < (\sqrt{\frac{n}{j}})^2 \delta$$

$$\cdots$$

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \{0\}^k} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} < (\sqrt{\frac{n}{j}})^k \delta = \epsilon$$

Put $L_1 = l_1(l_1+1)/2 + 1$ for some integer $l_1 > k+1$ and $l_1 = 1 \mod 3$. We have the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} &U_{L_1} := \sum_{m_1=0}^{j-1} q_{m_1,L_1} \\ &U_{L_1}^n = \mathbf{1} \\ &[U_{L_1}, \Phi(M_{k+i-1})] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, put $L_2 = l_2(l_2+1)/2 + 1$ for some integer $l_2 > k+1$ and $l_2 = 2 \mod 3$. We have the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} &U_{L_2} := \sum_{m_2=0}^{j-1} q_{m_2,L_2} \\ &U_{L_2}^n = \mathbf{1} \\ &[U_{L_2}, \Phi(M_{k+i-1})] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} = \\ &\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m_1=0}^{n-1} U_{L_1}^{m_1} {U_{L_1}^*}^{m_1} \|_{2,\tau} = \\ &\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m_1=0}^{n-1} U_{L_1}^{m_1} (y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}}) {U_{L_1}^*}^{m_1} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} = \\ &\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{m_1,m_2=0}^{n-1} U_{L_1}^{m_1} (y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}}) {U_{L_1}^*}^{m_1} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}} U_{L_2}^{m_2} {U_{L_2}^*}^{m_2}\|_{2,\tau} = \\ &\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{m_1,m_2=0}^{n-1} U_{L_2}^{m_2} U_{L_1}^{m_1} (y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}}) {U_{L_1}^*}^{m_1} {U_{L_2}^*}^{m_2} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} = \\ &\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} x_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$x_1^{\vec{\alpha}} := \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{m_1,m_2=0}^{n-1} U_{L_2}^{m_2} U_{L_1}^{m_1} (y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}}) {U_{L_1}^*}^{m_1} {U_{L_2}^*}^{m_2}$$

is the conditional expectation of $y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}}$ on $Z(A_1)$, the center of A_1.

By induction,

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} x_1^{\vec{\alpha}}(y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}}) \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} =$$

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} x_1^{\vec{\alpha}} x_2^{\vec{\alpha}}(y_3^{\vec{\alpha}} z_3^{\vec{\alpha}}) \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} =$$

$$\cdots$$

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} x_1^{\vec{\alpha}} x_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots x_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} < \epsilon$$

where $x_1^{\vec{\alpha}} \in Z(A_1)$, $x_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \in Z(A_2)$, $\cdots$, $x_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \in Z(A_k)$.

Note that the von Neumann algebra $\{x,\ x_1^{\vec{\alpha}},\ x_2^{\vec{\alpha}},\ x_3^{\vec{\alpha}}, \cdots x_k^{\vec{\alpha}}\}''$ commutes with $\Phi^k(M)$, which is a II_1 factor. Any element in the former von Neumann algebra has a scalar conditional expectation onto $\Phi^k(M)$. In short, the former von Neumann algebra and $\Phi^k(M)$ are mutually orthogonal.

According to [14], we have:

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} x_1^{\vec{\alpha}} x_2^{\vec{\alpha}} x_3^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots x_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot \tau(z^{\vec{\alpha}})\|_{2,\tau} < \epsilon$$

$$\sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} x_1^{\vec{\alpha}} x_2^{\vec{\alpha}} x_3^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots x_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot \tau(z^{\vec{\alpha}}) \in Z(A_1) \cdot Z(A_2) \cdots Z(A_k) = \otimes^k Z(A)$$

□

Corollary 1. *The entropy of the endomorphism Φ with the domain restricted on $\otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} Z(A)$ is equivalent to*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j} -\frac{a_i}{n}\ln(\frac{a_i}{n}) \le \ln n$$

Take $A = M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{C}) \subset M_4(\mathbb{C})$. The associated endomorphism has index equal to $4^2 = 16$. Yet the entropy restricted on the relative commutant algebra gives $\ln 2$.

4. MAIN THEOREM

Theorem 2. *For any finite dimensional C^*-algebra A with a trace vector $\vec{s}$ whose entries are rational numbers, we give an endomorphism Φ of the hyperfinite II_1 factor R such that:*

$$\forall\ k\ \in\ \mathbb{N},\ \Phi^k(R)' \cap R = \otimes^k A.$$

The canonical trace τ on R extends the trace vector $\vec{s}$ on A.

The proof is nothing but a tedious generalization of Theorem 1.

A is characterized by its trace vector $\vec{s}$ and its dimension vector $\vec{t}$.

$$\vec{s} = [\frac{e_1}{f_1},\ \frac{e_2}{f_2}, \cdots, \frac{e_j}{f_j}]$$
$$\vec{t} = [a_1,\ a_2, \cdots, a_j]$$
$$\frac{e_1}{f_1}a_1 + \frac{e_2}{f_2}a_2 + \cdots + \frac{e_j}{f_j}a_j = 1$$
$$e_1,\ f_1,\ a_1,\ e_2,\ f_2,\ a_2, \cdots, e_j,\ f_j,\ a_j \in \mathbb{N}$$

Put $n = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_j$. We can embed A into $B \subset M_n(\mathbb{C})$ via

$$A \simeq M_{a_1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{a_2}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{a_j}(\mathbb{C}) \subset$$
$$M_{a_1}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{a_1'}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{a_2}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{a_2'}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{a_j}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{a_j'}(\mathbb{C})$$
$$= B \subset M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

where $a_1' = \frac{ne_1}{f_1},\ a_2' = \frac{ne_2}{f_2}, \cdots, a_j' = \frac{ne_j}{f_j}$

For each i, $M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C}) \subset A \subset B$ (the former being not a unital embedding) is generated by $p_i, q_i \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^{a_i})$ with:

$$p_i^{a_i} = q_i^{a_i} = 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})}; \quad \gamma_i = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/a_i), \quad p_i q_i = \gamma_i q_i p_i$$

where $p_i = [1\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i^2 \cdots \gamma_i^{a_i-1}]$ is the diagonal matrix in $M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})$, and q_i is the permutation matrix in $M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})$, $(1\ 2\ 3 \cdots a_i)$.

For each i, $M_{a_i'}(\mathbb{C}) \subset A' \cap B \subset B$ (the former being not a unital embedding) is generated by $p_i', q_i' \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^{a_i'})$ with:

$$p_i'^{a_i'} = q_i'^{a_i'} = 1_{M_{a_i'}(\mathbb{C})}; \quad \gamma_i' = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/a_i'), \quad p_i' q_i' = \gamma_i' q_i' p_i'$$

where $p_i' = [1\ \gamma_i'\ \gamma_i'^2 \cdots \gamma_i'^{a_i-1}]$ is the diagonal matrix in $M_{a_i'}(\mathbb{C})$, and q_i' is the permutation matrix in $M_{a_i'}(\mathbb{C})$, $(1\ 2\ 3 \cdots a_i')$.

Define $v \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ to be the permutation matrix:

$$v = (a_1 a_1' \ \ (a_1 a_1' + a_2 a_2') \ \ \cdots \ \ (a_1 a_1' + a_2 a_2' + \cdots + a_j a_j'))$$

Then $v^j = 1$. B and v generate $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Define $r := svs$, while

$$s = [0\ 0\ \cdots\ 0\ 1_{a_1 a_1'}\ 0\ 0\ \cdots\ 0\ 1_{a_1 a_1' + a_2 a_2'} \cdots\ 0\ 0 \cdots\ 0\ 1_{a_1 a_1' + a_2 a_2' + \cdots + a_j a_j'}]$$

is a diagonal matrix in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Thus B and r generates $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 7. *In fact,* $< B, r > \simeq M_n(\mathbb{C})$ *is of the form:*

$$B + BrB + Br^2B + \cdots + Br^{j-1}B.$$

Proof. It suffices to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&r = svs = vs, \quad [s, v] = [s, r] = 0 \\
&r^* = sv^* = v^*s, \quad rr^* = r^*r = s \\
&rBr = rsBr = r^2r^*Br = r^2s(v^*Bv)s \subset r^2B \\
&r^* = r^{j-1}, \quad r^j = s
\end{aligned}$$

□

On the other hand, define $w = \sum_{i=1}^{j} \gamma^{i-1} 1_{M_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})}$, where

$$\gamma = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/j) \quad \gamma^j = 1.$$

Note that w is in the center of B. Two simple yet important observations are that:
(1) Adw acts trivially on B.
(2) $Adw(r) = \gamma r$.

Now we construct a tower of inclusion of finite dimensional C^*- algebras M_k with a trace τ. The ascending union $M = \cup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} M_k$ contains infinite copies of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, and thus of B. Number them respectively by $r_1, B_1, w_1,\ r_2, B_2, w_2,\ r_3, B_3, w_3,\ \cdots$.

We endow on this algebra the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[r_l, B_m] = 0, \text{ if } l \neq m; \\
&r_l r_m = \gamma r_l r_m, \text{ if } |l - m| \in S_1 = \{1, 3, 6, 10, 15, \cdots\}, \\
&r_l r_m = r_m r_l, \text{ otherwise.}
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\gamma = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/j)$ as above.

Unlike in [9], we add a twist in the relations between A'_l and A'_m when $|l - m| \in S_2 \cup S_3$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&p'_{i,l} p'_{i_0,m} = p'_{i_0,m} p'_{i,l}; \\
&q'_{i,l} p'_{i_0,m} = \gamma_i'^{-\delta_{i,i_0}} p'_{i_0,m} q'_{i,l}, \quad \text{if } |l - m| \in S_2, \\
&q'_{i,l} p'_{i_0,m} = p'_{i_0,m} q'_{i,l}, \quad \text{if otherwise;} \\
&q'_{i,l} q'_{i_0,m} = \gamma_i'^{\delta_{i,i_0}} q'_{i_0,m} q'_{i,l}, \quad \text{if } |l - m| \in S_3 \\
&q'_{i,l} q'_{i_0,m} = q'_{i_0,m} q'_{i,l}, \quad \text{if otherwise;}
\end{aligned}$$

where $A'_l =< p'_{i,l}, q'_{i,l} >_{i=1}^{j}$, and $A'_m =< p'_{i_0,m}, q'_{i_0,m} >_{i_0=1}^{j}$.

Unlike in the above section, there is no twist in the relations between A_l and A_m.

$$\begin{aligned} p_{i,l}p_{i_0,m} &= p_{i_0,m}p_{i,l}; \\ p_{i,l}q_{i_0,m} &= q_{i_0,m}p_{i,l}; \\ q_{i,l}q_{i_0,m} &= q_{i_0,m}q_{i,l} \end{aligned}$$

where $A_l =< p_{i,l}, q_{i,l} >_{i=1}^{j}$, and $A_m =< p_{i_0,m}, q_{i_0,m} >_{i_0=1}^{j}$.

The construction is an induction process. We have handy the embedding $B_1 \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{C}) = M_1$, which is isomorphic to the inclusion of $B \otimes 1_{M_n(\mathbb{C})}$ inside $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes 1_{M_n(\mathbb{C})}$ equipped with the trace $\frac{1}{n}Tr$.

Observe that $|2-1| = 1 \in S_2$. We would like to identify B_2 in $\otimes^2 M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by a twist. B_2 is generated by $p_{1,2}, \cdots, p_{j,2}$, $p'_{1,2}, \cdots, p'_{j,2}$, $q_{1,2}, \cdots, q_{j,2}$,and $q'_{1,2}, \cdots, q'_{j,2}$.

Put $p_{i,2} = \mathbf{1} \otimes p_i \in \otimes^2 M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $q_{i,2} = \mathbf{1} \otimes q_i \in \otimes^2 M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Put $p'_{i,2} = \mathbf{1} \otimes p'_i \in \otimes^2 M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $q'_{i,2} = (q'_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a'_i}(\mathbb{C})}) \otimes q'_i \in \otimes^2 M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Note that $q'_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a'_i}(\mathbb{C})} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & p'^{a'_i}_{i,2} = q'^{a'_i}_{i,2} = \mathbf{1} \otimes 1_{M_{a'_i}(\mathbb{C})}, \\ & p'_{i,2}q'_{i,2} = \gamma'_i q'_{i,2}p'_{i,2}, \\ & q'_{i,2}p'_{i,1} = \gamma'^{-1}_i p'_{i,1}q'_{i,2}, \\ & [p'_{i,2}, p'_{i,1}] = [q'_{i,2}, q'_{i,1}] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

B_2 is generated by

$$p_{1,2}, \cdots, p_{j,2},\ p'_{1,2}, \cdots, p'_{j,2},\ q_{1,2}, \cdots, q_{j,2},\ q'_{1,2}, \cdots, q'_{j,2}$$

Thus

$$B_2 \simeq B = \oplus_{i=1}^{j} M_{a_i a'_i}(\mathbb{C}) \subset M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

Observe $|2-1| = 1 \in S_1$. Define $r_2 := w \otimes r$. We have the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} & [w, q'_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a'_i}(\mathbb{C})}] = 0 \\ & < B_2, r_2 > \simeq M_n(\mathbb{C}) \\ & [B_1, r_2] = 0 \quad r_1 r_2 = \gamma r_2 r_1 \\ & M_2 =< B_1, r_1, B_2, r_2 >= \otimes^2 M_n(\mathbb{C}) \end{aligned}$$

There is a unique normalized trace τ on M_2.

Assume we have obtained $M_k =< B_1, r_1, B_2, r_2, \cdots, B_k, r_k >$ equal to $\otimes^k M_n(\mathbb{C})$ with the trace τ. We identify M_k as $M_k \otimes 1_{M_n(\mathbb{C})}$ by sending $x \in M_k$ to $x \otimes 1_{M_n(\mathbb{C})}$.

Define B_{k+1} by its generators: $p_{i,k+1}$, $p'_{i,k+1}$, $q_{i,k+1}$, and $q'_{i,k+1}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq j$:

$$p_{i,k+1} := \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes p_i$$
$$q_{i,k+1} := \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes q_i$$
$$p'_{i,k+1} := \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes p'_i$$
$$q'_{i,k+1} :=$$
$$[(q'_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a'_i}(\mathbb{C})})^{b_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (q'_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a'_i}(\mathbb{C})})^{b_k}] \cdot$$
$$[(p'_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a'_i}(\mathbb{C})})^{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (p'_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a'_i}(\mathbb{C})})^{c_k}] \otimes q'_i$$

For all $1 \leq l \leq k$:

$$b_l = 1, \quad \text{if } |k+1-l| \in S_2; \quad b_l = 0, \quad \text{otherwise}$$
$$c_l = 1, \quad \text{if } |k+1-l| \in S_3; \quad c_l = 0, \quad \text{otherwise}$$

We have:

$$p_{i,k+1}^{a_i} = q_{i,k+1}^{a_i} = \otimes^k \mathbf{1} \otimes 1_{M_{a_i}}(\mathbb{C})$$
$$p_{i,k+1} q_{i,k+1} = \gamma_i q_{i,k+1} p_{i,k+1}$$
$$p'^{a'_i}_{i,k+1} = q'^{a'_i}_{i,k+1} = \otimes^k \mathbf{1} \otimes 1_{M_{a'_i}}(\mathbb{C})$$
$$p'_{i,k+1} q'_{i,k+1} = \gamma'_i q'_{i,k+1} p'_{i,k+1}$$

Therefore B_{k+1} is isomorphic to B.

The commutation relations is given below.

$$p_{i,k+1} p_{i_0,l} = p_{i_0,l} p_{i,k+1}$$
$$[p_{i,k+1}, q_{i_0,l}] = 0, \text{ for all } l < k+1$$
$$[q_{i,k+1}, q_{i_0,l}] = 0$$
$$A_{k+1} \cdot A_l = A_l \cdot A_{k+1}$$
$$p'_{i,k+1} p'_{i_0,l} = p'_{i_0,l} p'_{i,k+1}$$
$$q'_{i,k+1} p'_{i_0,l} = \gamma_i'^{-\delta_{i,i_0}} p'_{i_0,l} q'_{i,k+1}, \text{ if } |k+1-l| \in S_2$$
$$[q'_{i,k+1}, p'_{i_0,l}] = 0, \text{ if } |k+1-l| \notin S_2$$
$$q'_{i,k+1} q'_{i_0,l} = \gamma_i'^{\delta_{i,i_0}} q'_{i_0,l} q'_{i,k+1}, \text{ if } |k+1-l| \in S_3$$
$$[q'_{i,k+1}, q'_{i_0,l}] = 0, \text{ if } |k+1-l| \notin S_3$$
$$A'_{k+1} \cdot A'_l = A'_l \cdot A'_{k+1}$$

Define

$$r_{k+1} := w^{d_1} \otimes w^{d_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes w^{d_k} \otimes r$$
$$d_l = 1, \quad \text{if } |k+1-l| \in S_1; \quad d_l = 0, \quad \text{otherwise}$$

We have the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[w, q'_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a'_i}(\mathbb{C})}] = [w, p'_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a'_i}(\mathbb{C})}] = 0 \\
&< B_{k+1}, r_{k+1} > \simeq M_n(\mathbb{C}) \\
&[B_l, r_{k+1}] = 0 \quad 1 \leq l \leq k \\
&r_{k+1} r_l = \gamma r_l r_{k+1} \quad \text{if } |k+1-l| \in S_1 \\
&r_{k+1} r_l = r_l r_{k+1} \quad \text{if } |k+1-l| \notin S_1 \\
&M_{k+1} = < M_k, B_{k+1}, r_{k+1} > = \otimes^{k+1} M_n(\mathbb{C})
\end{aligned}$$

There is a unique normalized trace τ on M_{k+1}.

By induction we have constructed the ascending tower of finite dimensional C^*-algebras with the desired properties.

We now explore some useful properties of the finite dimensional C^*-algebra, M_k.

Lemma 8. *For all k, M_k is the linear span of the words, $x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3 \cdots x_k$, where $x_j \in M_n(\mathbb{C})_j = < B_j, r_j >$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove $x_l \cdot x_j$ is in $M_j \cdot < B_l, r_l > = M_j \cdot M_n(\mathbb{C})_l$, where $j < l$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_l B_j = B_j B_l \subset M_j B_l; \\
&B_l r_l B_j = B_j B_l r_l \subset M_j B_l r_l; \\
&p_{i,l} r_j = r_j p_{i,l} \in r_j B_l \subset M_j B_l; \\
&q_{i,l} r_j = r_j q_{i,l} \in r_j B_l \subset M_j B_l; \\
&p'_{i,l} r_j = r_j p'_{i,l} \in r_j B_l \subset M_j B_l; \\
&\text{if } |l-j| \in S_2, \text{ then} \\
&q'_{i,l} r_j = Ad(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (q'_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a'_i}(\mathbb{C})}))(r_j) \cdot q'_{i,l} \in M_j B_l; \\
&\text{if } |l-j| \in S_3, \text{ then} \\
&q'_{i,l} r_j = Ad(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (p'_i + \mathbf{1} - 1_{M_{a'_i}(\mathbb{C})}))(r_j) \cdot q'_{i,l} \in M_j B_l; \\
&\text{if otherwise, } q'_{i,l} r_j = r_j q'_{i,l} \in r_j B_l \subset M_j B_l; \\
&\text{in short,} \quad B_l r_j \subset M_j B_l; \\
&B_l B_j r_j = B_j B_l r_j \subset B_j M_j B_l \subset M_j B_l; \\
&B_l r_l B_j r_j = B_j B_l r_l r_j = B_j B_l r_j r_l \subset B_j M_j B_l r_l \subset M_j B_l r_l.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 9. *Consider the pair (M, τ) as described above and the GNS-construction. Identify everything mentioned above as its image. We M'' is the hyperfinite II_1 factor.*

Proof. There is one and only one tracial state on M_k for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the tracial state on M is unique. Therefore M'' is the hyperfinite II_1 factor, R. $\square$

Define a unital *-endomorphism, Φ, on R to be the (right) one-shift: i.e., sending B_k to B_{k+1}, and sending r_k to r_{k+1}. We observe that $\Phi(R)$ is a II_1 factor and

$$[R : \Phi(R)] = n^2 < \infty.$$

Lemma 10. *The relative commutant $\Phi^k(R)' \cap R$ is exactly $\otimes_{i=1}^k A$, on which the trace of R is the product trace given by the vector $\vec{s}$.*

Proof. Because of our decomposition in Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, R can be written as

$$(\sum_{i=0}^{j} B_1 r_1^i B_1) \cdot (\sum_{i=0}^{j} B_2 r_2^i B_2) \cdot \cdots \cdot (\sum_{i=0}^{j} B_k r_k^i B_k) \cdot \Phi^k(R).$$

Assume $x \in R \cap \Phi^k(R)'$. x can be written, as in Lemma 8, of the following form:

$$x = \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \{0,\ 1,\ \cdots, j-1\}^k} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{g_1} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{g_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{g_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot y^{\vec{\alpha}},$$

where $\vec{\alpha} = (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k)$ is a multi-index. $y_1^{\vec{\alpha}}, z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} \in B_1$, $y_2^{\vec{\alpha}}, z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \in B_2$, $\cdots$, $y_k^{\vec{\alpha}}, z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \in B_k$. $y^{\vec{\alpha}}$ is in $\Phi^k(R)$. Note that $\Phi^k(R)$ is the weak closure of $\{\Phi^k(M_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$.

For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\forall\ \vec{\alpha},\ z^{\vec{\alpha}} \in \Phi^k(M_i) \subset < B_{k+1}, r_{k+1}, \cdots, B_{k+i}, r_{k+i} > \\
&\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \{0,\ 1,\ \cdots, j-1\}^k} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{g_1} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{g_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{g_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} < \delta \\
&\delta = (\sqrt{\frac{j}{n}})^k \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Put $L = l(l+1)/2 + 1$ for some integer $l > k+1$ and $l = 0 \mod 3$. We have the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[r_L, B_1] = [r_L, B_2] = \cdots = [r_L, B_{k+i}] = 0 \\
&[r_L, r_2] = [r_L, r_3] = \cdots = [r_L, r_{k+i}] = 0 \\
&r_L r_1 = \gamma r_1 r_L, \quad r_L r_1^{g_1} = \gamma^{g_1} r_1 r_L \\
&r_L r_L^* = r_L^* r_L = s_L \\
&r_L r_1 r_L^* = \gamma r_1 s_L, \quad r_L r_1^{g_1} r_L^* = \gamma^{g_1} r_1 s_L \\
&\text{for } 0 \le m \le j-1, \quad r_L^m r_1^{g_1} (r_L^*)^m = \gamma^{g_1 m} r_1 s_l \\
&[s_L, B_1] = [s_L, B_2] = \cdots = [s_L, B_{k+i}] = 0 \\
&[s_L, r_1] = [s_L, r_2] = \cdots = [s_L, r_{k+i}] = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we claim:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \{0,\ 1,\ \cdots, j-1\}^k} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{g_1} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{g_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{g_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}) s_L \|_{2,\tau} = \\
&\|(x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \{0,\ 1,\ \cdots, j-1\}^k} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{g_1} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{g_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{g_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}) \frac{1}{j} \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} r_L^m {r_L^*}^m \|_{2,\tau} = \\
&\frac{1}{j} \| \sum_{\vec{\alpha}} \sum_m (r_L^m x {r_L^*}^m - y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} r_L^m r_1^{g_1} (r_L^*)^m z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{g_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{g_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}) \|_{2,\tau} = \\
&\frac{1}{j} \| \sum_{\vec{\alpha}} \sum_m (x - y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{g_1 m} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{g_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{g_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}) s_L \|_{2,\tau} = \\
&\|(x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \{0,\ 1, \cdots, j-1\}^k, g_1 = 0} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{g_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{g_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}) s_L \|_{2,\tau} = \\
&\sqrt{\frac{j}{n}} \| x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \{0,\ 1, \cdots, j-1\}^k, g_1 = 0} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{g_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{g_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}} \|_{2,\tau}
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{x,\ y_1^{\vec{\alpha}},\ z_1^{\vec{\alpha}},\ y_2^{\vec{\alpha}},\ r_2^{g_2},\ z_2^{\vec{\alpha}}, \cdots, y_k^{\vec{\alpha}},\ r_1^{g_k},\ z_k^{\vec{\alpha}},\ z^{\vec{\alpha}}\} \subset \{s_L,\ r_L,\ B_L\}' \\
&\text{and } \tau(s_L) = \frac{j}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\{s_L,\ r_L,\ B_L\}'' = M_n(\mathbb{C})_L$ is a type I factor [14].

By induction,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0,\ 1,\cdots,j-1\}^k,\ g_1=0} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} r_2^{g_2} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{g_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}} \|_{2,\tau} < \sqrt{\frac{n}{j}}\delta \\
&\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0,\ 1,\cdots,j-1\}^k,\ g_1=g_2=0} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} r_1^{g_k} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}} \|_{2,\tau} < (\sqrt{\frac{n}{j}})^2\delta \\
&\cdots \\
&\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}} \|_{2,\tau} < (\sqrt{\frac{n}{j}})^k\delta = \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Put $L_1 = l_1(l_1+1)/2+1$ for some integer $l_1 > k+1$ and $l_1 = 1 \mod 3$. We have the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
&U_{L_1} := \sum_{m_1=0}^{j-1} q'_{m_1,L_1} \\
&U_{L_1}^n = \mathbf{1} \\
&[U_{L_1}, \Phi(M_{k+i-1})] = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, put $L_2 = l_2(l_2+1)/2+1$ for some integer $l_2 > k+1$ and $l_2 = 2 \mod 3$. We have the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
&U_{L_2} := \sum_{m_2=0}^{j-1} q'_{m_2,L_2} \\
&U_{L_2}^n = \mathbf{1} \\
&[U_{L_2}, \Phi(M_{k+i-1})] = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} =$$

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m_1=0}^{n-1} U_{L_1}^{m_1} U_{L_1}^{*\ m_1}\|_{2,\tau} =$$

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m_1=0}^{n-1} U_{L_1}^{m_1} (y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}}) U_{L_1}^{*\ m_1} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} =$$

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{m_1,m_2=0}^{n-1} U_{L_1}^{m_1} (y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}}) U_{L_1}^{*\ m_1} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}} U_{L_2}^{m_2} U_{L_2}^{*\ m_2}\|_{2,\tau} =$$

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{m_1,m_2=0}^{n-1} U_{L_2}^{m_2} U_{L_1}^{m_1} (y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}}) U_{L_1}^{*\ m_1} U_{L_2}^{*\ m_2} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} =$$

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} x_1^{\vec{\alpha}} y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau}$$

Observe that

$$x_1^{\vec{\alpha}} := \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{m_1,m_2=0}^{n-1} U_{L_2}^{m_2} U_{L_1}^{m_1} (y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}}) U_{L_1}^{*\ m_1} U_{L_2}^{*\ m_2}$$

is the conditional expectation of $y_1^{\vec{\alpha}} z_1^{\vec{\alpha}} \in B_1$ onto A_1.

By induction,

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} x_1^{\vec{\alpha}} (y_2^{\vec{\alpha}} z_2^{\vec{\alpha}}) \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} =$$

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} x_1^{\vec{\alpha}} x_2^{\vec{\alpha}} (y_3^{\vec{\alpha}} z_3^{\vec{\alpha}}) \cdots y_k^{\vec{\alpha}} z_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} =$$

$$\cdots$$

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} x_1^{\vec{\alpha}} x_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots x_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot z^{\vec{\alpha}}\|_{2,\tau} < \epsilon$$

where $x_1^{\vec{\alpha}} \in A_1$, $x_2^{\vec{\alpha}} \in A_2$, $\cdots$, $x_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \in A_k$.

Note that the von Neumann algebra $\{x,\ x_1^{\vec{\alpha}},\ x_2^{\vec{\alpha}},\ x_3^{\vec{\alpha}}, \cdots x_k^{\vec{\alpha}}\}''$ commutes with $\Phi^k(M)$, which is a II_1 factor. Any element in the former von Neumann algebra has a scalar conditional expectation onto $\Phi^k(M)$. In short, the former von Neumann algebra and $\Phi^k(M)$ are mutually orthogonal.

According to [14], we have:

$$\|x - \sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} x_1^{\vec{\alpha}} x_2^{\vec{\alpha}} x_3^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots x_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot \tau(z^{\vec{\alpha}})\|_{2,\tau} < \epsilon$$

$$\sum_{\vec{\alpha}\in\{0\}^k} x_1^{\vec{\alpha}} x_2^{\vec{\alpha}} x_3^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdots x_k^{\vec{\alpha}} \cdot \tau(z^{\vec{\alpha}}) \in A_1 \cdot A_2 \cdots A_k = \otimes^k A$$

□

5. Discussion

The construction of Φ depends on the choice of the anticommutation set S_1. In the case of n-unitary shifts, different choices of $S(\Psi;u)$ give uncountably many nonconjugate shifts and at least a countably infinite family of shifts that are pairwise not outer conjugate. Not to mention in [5] the existence of uncountably many non-outer-conjugate nonbinary shifts, exploiting different 2-cocycles on the group $G = \oplus_i^\infty \mathbb{Z}_2^{(i)}$. Each of the above has a counterpart in our construction.

Given a finite dimensional C^*-algebra A with $\mathrm{rank}(A) = n$ and an n-unitary shift with the anticommutation set $S(\Psi;u)$ [4] satisfies the following condition:

$$S(\Psi;u) = \{k_i \mid k_{i+1} > k_i, \text{ and } k_{i+2} - k_{i+1} > k_{i+1} - k_i, \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Denote by $M(\Psi;u)$ to be the ascending union of $M_k(\Psi;u) =$

$$< A_1(\Psi;u), r_1(\Psi;u), A_2(\Psi;u), r_2(\Psi;u), \cdots, A_k(\Psi;u), r_k(\Psi;u) >$$

with the trace $\tau(\Psi;u)$. We have

$$A \simeq A_1(\Psi;u) \simeq A_2(\Psi;u) \cdots$$

Similarly, consider the pair $(M(\Psi;u), \tau(\Psi;u))$ as described above and the GNS construction. Identify everything mentioned above as its image. The weak closure $M(\Psi;u)''$ is the hyperfinite II_1 factor, R.

Define a unital *-endomorphism, Ψ, on R to be the (right) one-shift: i.e., sending $A_k(\Psi;u)$ to $A_{k+1}(\Psi;u)$, and sending $r_k(\Psi;u)$ to $r_{k+1}(\Psi;u)$. We observe that $\Psi(R)$ is a II_1 factor and $[R:\Psi(R)] = n^2$.

$$\Psi^k(R)' \cap R = \otimes^k A.$$

A well-known conjugacy invariant is the Connes-Størmer entropy. We estimate the entropy [15] in the following paragraph.

Lemma 11. *$H(\Psi) \geq \ln n = \ln[R:\Psi(R)]$ no matter of the choice of the anticommutation set $S(\Psi,u)$.*

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&H(\Psi) = \lim_{j\to\infty} H(M_j, \Psi) \geq \lim_{j\to\infty} H(\otimes^j A, \Psi) \\
&= \lim_{j\to\infty}\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k} H(\otimes^j A, \Psi(\otimes^j A), \cdots, \Psi^{k-1}(\otimes^j A)) \\
&= \lim_{j\to\infty}\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k} H(\otimes^{j+k-1} A) = \lim_{j\to\infty}\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{j+k-1}{k} H(A) \\
&= \lim_{j\to\infty} H(A) = H(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Lemma 12. *$H(\Psi) \leq \ln n = \ln[R : \Psi(R)]$ no matter of the choice of the anticommutation set $S(\Psi, u)$.*

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&H(\Psi) = \lim_{j\to\infty} H(M_j, \Psi) = \lim_{j\to\infty}\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k} H(M_j, \Psi(M_j), \cdots, \Psi^{k-1}(M_j)) \\
&\leq \lim_{j\to\infty}\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k} H(M_{j+k-1}) = \lim_{j\to\infty}\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k} H(\otimes^{j+k-1} M_n(\mathbb{C})) \\
&= \lim_{j\to\infty}\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k}(j+k-1)\ln n = \ln n
\end{aligned}
$$

□

If A is not trivial, $H(A)$ is non-zero. Therefore,

$$H(M_n(\mathbb{C})) \geq H(\Psi) \geq H(A) > 0$$

no matter of the choice of the anticommutation set $S(\Psi, u)$.

We conjecture that there is an endomorphism Ψ that gives the entropy $H(A)$. Moreover, if $\mathbf{A}$ is a finite dimensional C^*-algebra with an arbitrary trace vector (not necessarily of rational entries), we conjecture that there is a similar result to Theorem 2, parallel with the Murray-von Neumann construction of the hyperfinite II_1 factor R.

Acknowledgments. I wish to express gratitude toward Professor Jing Yu for his hospitality and support during my stay at the National Center of Theoretical Sciences in Taiwan.

References

[1] D.Bisch, *Bimodules, higher relative commutants and the fusion algebra associated to a subfactor*, Operator algebras and their applications (Waterloo, ON, 1994/1995), 13-63, Fields Inst. Commun., 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997

[2] D.Bures, H.-S.Yin, *Shifts on the hyperfinite factor of type II_1*, J. Operator Theory, 20 (1988), 91-106

[3] M.Choda, *The conjugacy classes of subfactors and the outer conjugacy classes of the automorphism group*, Math. Japon. 32 (1987), no. 3, 379-388

[4] M.Choda, *Shifts on the hyperfinite II_1 factor*, J. Operator Theory, 17 (1987),223-235

[5] M.Enomoto, M.Choda, Y.Watatani, *Uncountably many non-binary shifts on the hyperfinite II_1-factor*, Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 33(4), 1990, 423-427

[6] M.Choda, *Entropy for canonical shifts*, Tran. AMS, 334, 2, 827-849 (1992)

[7] M.Enomoto, M.Nagisa, Y.Watatani, H.Yoshida, *Relative commutant algebras of Powers' binary shifts on the hyperfinite II_1 factor*, Math. Scand. 68 (1991),115-130

[8] V.Y.Golodets, E.Størmer, *Generators and comparison of entropies of automorphisms of finite von Neumann algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. 164 (1999), no. 1, 110-133

[9] H.-P.Huang, *Some endomorphisms of II_1 factors*, preprint, 2002

[10] H.Narnhofer, W. Thirring, E.Størmer, *C^*-dynamical systems for which the tensor product formula for entropy fails*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 15 (1995), no. 5, 961-968

[11] G.L.Price, *Shifts on the type II_1-factor*, Canad. J. Math 39 (1987) 492-511

[12] G.L.Price, *On the classification of binary shifts of finite commutant index*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci, USA. Vol. 96, pp. 14700- 14705, December 1999, Mathematics

[13] R.T.Powers, *An index theory for semigroups of $*$-endomorphisms of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and type II_1 factors*, Canad. J. Math, 40 (1988), 86-114

[14] S.Popa, *Orthogonal pairs of $*$-subalgebras in finite von Neumann algebras*, J. Operator Theory 9 (1983), no. 2, 253-268

[15] E.Størmer, *Entropy of endomorphisms and relative entropy in finite von Neumann algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. 171 (2000), 34-52

Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112

E-mail address: `hphuang@math.utah.edu`